Early Identification of Reading Disabilities within a RTI Framework

Hugh W. Catts, Ph.D.

CRTIEC 2011

Overview

- Early identification represents a significant challenge
- RTI has the potential to improve accuracy
- Instruction is critical
- Study using universal screening and response to tiered instruction
- Dynamic assessment

A Significant Challenge

- Predicting reading outcomes is a very difficult task
- Best predictor of future reading is current reading
- Children who get off to a good start generally continue to do well and those who show initial problems generally continue to struggle

A Significant Challenge

- Can't rely on initial reading ability as a predictor until children have had sufficient instruction
- Preschool children's ability to read is not predictive of later reading achievement
- Numerous false positives

Traditional Approach

- Cognitive abilities
 - visual perception
 - visual memory
 - motor skills
 - PA
 - rapid naming
 - verbal memory
 - oral language

- Other factors
 - family history
 - SES
 - mother's education

Challenge

- Most of these abilities have only limited correlation with later reading achievement (r <.50)
- Need correlation >.70 for a predictor or combination of predictors to have accurate identification

RTI

- RTI is the best hope for early identification of reading disabilities
- Uses reading (or early literacy) skills to predict future reading achievement
- But assures instruction at several levels to reduce false positives

RTI for Early Identification

 Tier 1 - Provide good, high quality, instruction and measure all children's response (i.e., universal screening)

 Tier 2 - Provide supplemental instruction to children who fail screen and measure their response to this instruction

Challenges for RTI

- Model assumes good, high quality instruction
 - identify children as at-risk if they do not response in a manner that is consistent with the instruction – universal screen or progress monitoring
 - RTI is a discrepancy model

Catts, Nielsen, & Bridges (in progress)

 Examine the effectiveness of RTI in kindergarten for the early identification of RD in the primary grades

 Contributions of Tier 1 screening and response to Tier 2 intervention to prediction of reading outcomes

Catts, Nielsen, & Bridges (in progress)

366 kindergarten children 262 at-risk for RD based on initial DIBELS screening

Screening battery administered in mid-late Sept of kindergarten LNF (DIBELS) ISF (DIBELS)

Letter Identification (WRMT-R) Sound Matching (CTOPP)

RAN (CTOPP) Sentence Imitation (TOLD 2:P) Nonword Repetition (NWR)

Dynamic Screening of Phonological Awareness (DSPA)

Test of Narrative Language PPVT-3 PAR – expressive vocaulary

Catts, Nielsen, & Bridges (in progress)

- Evaluate the prediction of reading outcomes in 1st-3rd grades
- WRMT-R: Word Identification, Word Attack
- Logistic regression to test various models of combined predictors
- Analyses are weighted to reduce the effect of oversampling at-risk children
- Area under curve (AUC) to quantify accuracy

Vocabulary Probe

- Taught 42 words in the context of storybooks
- 143 at-risk children
- Pre-, Mid-year, Post-test
- Open-ended, multiple-choice questions (3 point each)
- Examined individual differences in response - growth curves, gain scores

Vocabulary Probe



Narration

- Language intervention also taught children how to use story structure to understand and retell stories
- Pre-test, post-test TNL
- 143 at-risk children received intervention
- 101 at-risk controls and 102 typical received no intervention

Test of Narrative Language - Expressive



Dynamic Assessment

- Measurement of learner's potential over the short term
- Assessor actively intervenes during the course of the assessment with the goal of intentionally inducing changes in the learner's current level of performance.
- "Mini-assessment" of response to intervention

Grigorenko, E.L. (2010). Dynamic assessment and Response to Intervention: Two sides of the same coin. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 42,* 111-132.

Dynamic Assessment

- Phonological awareness (Bridges & Catts, 2009; 2011)
- Decoding (Fuchs et al. 2011; Bridges, Catts, & Chang, in progress)
- Vocabulary (Camilleri & Law, 2007)
- Narrative (Pena et al., 2006)

Thank You